

Appropriate Filtering for Education settings

September 2019

Filtering Provider Checklist Reponses

Schools in England (and Wales) are required "to ensure children are safe from terrorist and extremist material when accessing the internet in school, including by establishing appropriate levels of filtering". Furthermore, the Department for Education's statutory guidance 'Keeping Children Safe in Education' obliges schools and colleges in England to "ensure appropriate filters and appropriate monitoring systems are in place. Children should not be able to access harmful or inappropriate material from the school or colleges IT system" however, schools will need to "be careful that "over blocking" does not lead to unreasonable restrictions as to what children can be taught with regards to online teaching and safeguarding."

Included within the Scottish Government national action plan on internet safety, schools in Scotland are expected to "have policies in place relating to the use of IT and to use filtering as a means of restricting access to harmful content."

By completing all fields and returning to UK Safer Internet Centre (enquiries@saferinternet.org.uk), the aim of this document is to help filtering providers to illustrate to education settings (including Early years, schools and FE) how their particular technology system(s) meets the national defined 'appropriate filtering standards. Fully completed forms will be hosted on the UK Safer Internet Centre website alongside the definitions

It is important to recognise that no filtering systems can be 100% effective and need to be supported with good teaching and learning practice and effective supervision.

	_ _
Company / Organisation	Censornet Ltd
Address	Matrix House, Basing View, Basingstoke, RG21 4DZ
Contact details	Tim Lloyd
Filtering System	Unified Security Service – Web Security
Date of assessment	18/09/2019

System Rating response

Where a supplier is able to confirm that their service fully meets the issue identified in a specific checklist the appropriate self-certification colour for that question is GREEN.	
Where a supplier is not able to confirm that their service fully meets the issue identified in a specific checklist question the appropriate self-certification colour	
for that question is AMBER.	

.

Illegal Online Content

Filtering providers should ensure that access to illegal content is blocked, specifically that the filtering providers:

Aspect	Rating	Explanation
Are IWF members		We are indirect members via
		zVelo Inc who provide our
		URL classification service
 and block access to illegal Child Abuse 		
Images (by actively implementing the IWF		
URL list)		
 Integrate the 'the police assessed list of 		CTIRU option is enabled for
unlawful terrorist content, produced on		education/government
behalf of the Home Office'		customers

Inappropriate Online Content

Recognising that no filter can guarantee to be 100% effective, providers should both confirm, and describe how, their system manages the following content

Content	Explanatory notes – Content that:	Rating	Explanation
Discrimination	Promotes the unjust or prejudicial		Web category Hate Speech
	treatment of people on the		described as: Web pages that
	grounds of race, religion, age, or		promote extreme right/left wing
	sex.		groups, sexism, racism, religious
			hate and other discrimination
Drugs /	displays or promotes the illegal		Web category Illegal Drugs
Substance	use of drugs or substances		described as: Web pages that
abuse			promote the use or information
			of common illegal drugs and the
			misuse of prescription drugs and
			compounds
Extremism	promotes terrorism and terrorist		Deep inspection of Social Media
	ideologies, violence or intolerance		apps like Facebook, Twitter
			including keyword reporting;
			Web categories Hate Speech and
			Violence (described as Web
			pages that promote questionable
			activities such as violence and
			militancy)
Malware /	promotes the compromising of		Web Categories: Malware Call-
Hacking	systems including anonymous		Home, Malware Distribution
	browsing and other filter bypass		Point, Phishing/Fraud, Hacking
	tools as well as sites hosting		Optional BitDefender gateway AV
	malicious content		module.
Pornography	displays sexual acts or explicit		Safe Search enforcement and
	images		Web Categories: Pornography,
			Sex & Erotic, R-Rated. Optional
			Image Filter module provides real
			time image scanning for
			pornographic content
Piracy and	includes illegal provision of		Web category Piracy & Copyright

copyright theft	copyrighted material	Theft, described as Web pages that provide access to illegally obtained files such as pirated software (aka warez), pirated movies, pirated music, etc. Web Category: Torrent Repository, described as Web pages that host repositories of torrent files, which are the instruction file for allowing a bittorrent client to download large files from peers
Self Harm	promotes or displays deliberate self harm (including suicide and eating disorders)	Web Category Self Help & Addition, described as Web pages which include sites with information and help on gambling, drug, and alcohol addiction as well as sites helping with eating disorders such as anorexia, bulimia, and over- eating
Violence	Displays or promotes the use of physical force intended to hurt or kill	Web Category Violence described as Web pages that promote questionable activities such as violence and militancy

This list should not be considered an exhaustive list. Please outline how the system manages this content and many other aspects

- Full visibility of Cloud Application usage and Social Media sites including capturing actions such as posting to social network sites and search engine terms
- Scans all accessed URLs for reputation based on Web Category
- On-demand lookup for unknown URLs / zero-day
- Anti-malware scanning
- Image Filter scanning
- Counter Terrorism list enforcement
- Safe search enforcement Google search, Bing, Yahoo!, YouTube
- Policy based control of Web categories and Safe Search

Regarding the duration and extent of logfile (Internet history) data retention, providers should outline their retention policy.

Default is 90 days with auto-archive to CSV which is available for a further 12 months free of charge. The 90 day retention period can be extended for an extra free.

Providers should be clear how their system does not over block access so it does not lead to unreasonable restrictions

 Blocking is applied through policy, either globally or down to user group, individual or device level

- Default option for blocking unclassified sites
- All system categories can be overridden with custom URL category entries

Unblock Request management system alleviates IT helpdesk overhead and streamlines requests to unblock web content

Filtering System Features

How does the filtering system meet the following principles:

Principle	Rating	Explanation
Age appropriate, differentiated		Rules can be applied based on AD
filtering – includes the ability to vary		attributes; username, OU, group,
filtering strength appropriate to age		device and the rules determine what
and role		content is blocked. Rules can also be
		set based on time of day
 Circumvention – the extent and ability 		Web Categories that detect
to identify and manage technologies		anonymizer (proxy) sites, different
and techniques used to circumvent the		deployment options such as explicit
system, specifically VPN, proxy services		proxy, transparent proxy, endpoint
and DNS over HTTPS.		agent provides flexibility in different
		environments
Control - has the ability and ease of		Web based control panel with roles
use that allows schools to control the		based access. Full control to manage
filter themselves to permit or deny		content blocking settings
access to specific content		
Filtering Policy – the filtering provider		CensorNet's web filtering policy and
publishes a rationale that details their		approach is published here
approach to filtering with classification		http://help.clouduss.com/censornet-
and categorisation as well as over		web-filtering-policy-approach-
blocking		education and includes a link to a
		complete list and description of
		more than 500 categories. Critically CensorNet offers page level
		categorisation and a range of
		features specifically developed for
		education over the last 10+ years
Group / Multi-site Management – the		Centralised configuration,
ability for deployment of central policy		management and reporting via a
and central oversight or dashboard		single dashboard with enforcement
and central eversight or dustinouted		on network or device level using
		gateway (VM's) or endpoint agents.
		Global network infrastructure
		ensures low latency web browsing
Identification - the filtering system		Active Directory
should have the ability to identify		,
users		
Mobile and App content – mobile and		This is achievable via a Captive Portal
app content is often delivered in		mechanism when the device is on
entirely different mechanisms from		the local network, or via VPN to the
that delivered through a traditional		gateway for roaming users (requires
web browser. To what extent does the		MDM solution). In addition, we offer

filter system block inappropriate content via mobile and app technologies (beyond typical web browser delivered content)	a Cloud Application Security module which has an App Catalog of hundreds of mobile and desktop apps that can be used to identify apps in use and control access to
	them.
 Multiple language support – the ability 	Over 100 languages are supported in
for the system to manage relevant	the URL database
languages	
 Network level - filtering should be 	Gateway software is available which
applied at 'network level' ie, not	can act as an explicit or transparent
reliant on any software on user devices	proxy
 Reporting mechanism – the ability to 	Extensive Web Activity audit reports
report inappropriate content for	and pre-defined charts
access or blocking	
 Reports – the system offers clear 	Historical logs are available for up to
historical information on the websites	90 days and then via a downloadable
visited by your users	archive

Filtering systems are only ever a tool in helping to safeguard children when online and schools have an obligation to "consider how children may be taught about safeguarding, including online, through teaching and learning opportunities, as part of providing a broad and balanced curriculum".¹

Please note below opportunities to support schools (and other settings) in this regard

 $^{^{1}\,\}underline{\text{https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/keeping-children-safe-in-education--2}}$

PROVIDER SELF-CERTIFICATION DECLARATION

In order that schools can be confident regarding the accuracy of the self-certification statements, the supplier confirms:

- that their self-certification responses have been fully and accurately completed by a person or persons who are competent in the relevant fields
- that they will update their self-certification responses promptly when changes to the service or its terms and conditions would result in their existing compliance statement no longer being accurate or complete
- that they will provide any additional information or clarification sought as part of the selfcertification process
- that if at any time, the UK Safer Internet Centre is of the view that any element or elements of a provider's self-certification responses require independent verification, they will agree to that independent verification, supply all necessary clarification requested, meet the associated verification costs, or withdraw their self-certification submission.

Name	Tim Lloyd
Position	Head of R&D
Date	18/09/2019
Signature	1000